come up with a very dynamic definition of universal service in that report. There is also -- all the way at the end of the report, a two page statement by Alaska Public Interest Research Group, we perceived this, as I think, the Clinton administration and as events by Larry Irving that universal service is now to be conceptualized as a basic right as -- as a democratizing tool. And this is certainly something that is going to be critical to rural Alaska.

Rural Alaska will either participate fully and enjoy 10the potential to enhance its political economic and social 11opportunities. If we loop -- if we give a full panoply of 12services to these 200 plus villages, whether or not the market 13dictates that at the present time or it will become 14marginalized. The people in the bush will become -- the area 15will become marginalized, a vast technological ghetto, the 16individuals there, their hopes, their dreams, their aspirations 17will die with their loss of access to the information highway. 18So this is the challenge, this is the challenge. The report 19has a history of telecommunications in this state, Commissioner 20Chong. But sometimes it's read -- it's written for Alaskans 21only.

For example, it says, that with the coming of ANCSA, 23the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, telephones arrived in 24the smaller villages. Well, you know when that was, 1972, not 25so long ago, at least for me, not so long ago. Then maybe

we're talking about a single village, a single phone and maybe
we're talking about a phone that -- whose transmission bounced
from hither and you and make -- and still does, making it
totally inappropriate for a modem, for a fax, for the various
tools of the technological revolution. I look to the service
providers to make changes in that domain.

But more important in my discussions with Alaska Native people, they very much want to be a part of this process. They see themselves -- they see the leveling of the social landscape lohere with an opportunity for new access to education and ligovernment services and new economic opportunities. They are lin much the same way as those in the commerce department, the ligovery that was done by the commerce department, falling lighthrough the net, the have not's. As with other Native lighthrough there is a very low level of telephone penetration for these rural villages at this time. On the other hand, as lighthrough what they have to take courses, to access lighthrough the utility of this tool.

Now, speaking to some of the things I thought I was 22going to have 10 hours, he said 10 minutes, I'm sorry. So I 23prepared a three credit class, but I'll cut it back to 10 24minutes. Not only should the issue of core services be 25addressed, ma'am, but also the local calling area because after

beyond that small village. One has to conceptualize local calling areas not in the sky and not based on a theory of models, but based on how Alaska has evolved governmentally and That is to say if we're talking about access to 5 socially. fire, police, medical, emergency or as people later on will 🏿 talk about, education and many other services, there are 8 already grids -- so there are already grids put together of g linkages, there are already linkups between clusters of #Ovillages, all of the villages are not free floating islands. 11There are natural affinity linkages, linkages by language, 12linkages by societal evolution since 1972 and even before. In addition, the Land Claims Settlement Act is made of 14villagers, stockholders and corporations that are quite 15distant. When I talk to Native people, they tell me that voice 16transmissions, for example, just to use a single example or the 17ability to do video conferencing could be an absolute boom to 18the village Alaska -- the Chalista Region which happens to have 1925 percent of the villages and I believe 20 percent of the 20Alaska Native population. The Alaska Native population, by the 21way, is 17 percent of our population, much like New Mexico, 22vis-a-vis American Indians. They say to me, you know -- they 23say to me, you know, how many frequent flyer tickets we earned 24and you get a mile a dollar -- or you spend -- they said, we 25earned four last year. In other words, they spent \$80,000 just

all we're dealing with tolls -- access tolls once one gets

doing singular communications from the headquarters of their corporation back to the 57 villages in that region. And they also say, how many dollars do we spend flying people back and forth to attend these meetings when people would rather stay at home.

Now, these villages....

MR. MAY: One minute, Mr. Conn.

Yes, sir. These villages are for real and they're not going away. People have met every kind of 10challenge, disease, economic, you name it because the villages 1serve as staging areas for Native culture and for subsistence They want to be a part of the total society. 12economies. 13believe that what I've read indicates that universal service is 14a basic right and what I would mostly arque here in terms of 45this process that you get person to person, village to village 16communication with those people, that they be part of this. 17They may be daunted by some of the technological discussion 18here, but they certainly know what they need. They certainly 19know what they want. And if they know that that opportunity is 20on the table they're going to reach out and have a dialogue 21with you. And....

MR. MAY: Thank you, Mr. Conn.

22

24

25

MR. CONN:I thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN COTTEN: Good timing.

MR. MAY: Commissioner Chong.

MS. CHONG: Thank you. That's a very unique perspective and I appreciate that, Mr. Conn. First of all, could you flush out for me a little bit and as briefly as you can because I do have a few questions for you, what is the subscribership level, the telephone penetration level in these villages that you're mentioning?

MR. CONN: In the 2001 report, in data gathered there that I've marked, many times the penetration rate is something on the level of 50 percent and less.

- MS. CHONG: And what is the penetration rate in urban
- MR. CONN: Well, if we look at the census data, which lascme people challenged in that confab, they're talking 90, 93 lapercent. But of course, we're talking more than that, we're stalking fiberoptic here, we're talking broadband width in the laurban area and we're talking, I think -- I think the term is latwo-line copper wire marginal, but there.
- 18 MS. CHONG: Right.
- MR. CONN: We're talking about a need to transform the 20infrastructure that has to be factored into the question of 21cost and access, ma'am.
- MS. CHONG: Now, you seem to be suggesting that
 23something more than just the basic core services that I had
 24reviewed with Mr. DeFrancisco might be appropriate for the
 25remote areas. Are you suggesting that we should be providing

something, for example, more advanced services such as two way internet to these villages, is that what you're saying? MR. CONN: Absolutely. MS. CHONG: Do you think we should have a two tiered approach, one type of core service in certain areas, but in the remote or rural areas, a second type because of the challenges of the remote or rural areas, is that what you're arguing? MR. CONN: Well, I don't know the concept. 🕯 your professional loop here, two tier, I don't know -- I don't 10understand the.... MS. CHONG: I'm just saying..... 11 1/2 MR. CONN:concept.do you think that in an urban area, MS. CHONG: 14the core services should be different than what you're 15suggesting for non-urban areas? I think we could start with the idea that 16 MR. CONN: 1/7 the policy statement or the Act and the policy statements I've #8read from commerce and so forth suggest that we try to level 19the playing field and expand the social landscape. I think 20that what we've already confronted as we went through the rural 21modernization plan and attempt to create a base transmission 22level was the attitude flowing from mark -- the perspective of 23market competition that will wait for powerful and people who

R & R COURT REPORTERS

\$5there, we'll make it happen. Well, it's quite the reverse.

need this infrastructure for the villages to take up

opportunities that they have not yet been able to take up, save

costs and secure political and economic rights.

MS. CHONG: Now, let's look at the concept of
affordability for a minute. This is a new concept that the Act
has introduced of affordability. What do you think we ought to
use, especially in these remote or rural areas as an
affordability indicator or marker?

--- MR. CONN: Affordability is going to have to factor --10if I'm understanding the concept, again, any time you move into 11the technical, I'm marginalized myself. If affordability means #2infrastructure, affordability has to do with income -- but #3affordability -- fundamentally we need -- we need a continuing 14subsidy. I mean let's not make any bones about it. 15the poorest census areas in the country right along side with 16rural Mississippi are out where we're talking about in bush 17Alaska. And so this is going to -- this is going to require a 18mega contribution, a glean from somewhere out to create the 19grid and infrastructure that I'm talking about. The payoff 20though will be immediate. I'm talking to people at -- for 2 lexample, the Chalista people are talking about setting up 22something out of their regional center in Bethel that would 23bring fiberoptic to villages nearby and then they say to me, we 24need the subsidy in the initial stages, but we see the subsidy 25being diminished in later years. But in other words, that's

the attitude.

MS. CHONG: Thank you very much.

MR. CONN: Thank you.

MR. MAY: Thank you. Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN COTTEN: Pass again.

MR. MAY: My next speaker is Greg Jones, Vice President for Rural Services for General Communication, Inc., GCI. GCI is one of two facilities based long distance carriers in Alaska and the newest entrance to the market. Mr. Jones is fairly new 10to telecommunications he tells me, but has extensive experience 11in rural Alaska as a community planner and entrepreneur.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Don and it's a pleasure to be 14here and a real honor, actually for me to be up here with 15people involved in the telecommunications industry, to the 16level they are. I'm can sympathy with Mr. Conn, I'm 17technically challenged in this area. My background's sort of 18opposite of Jerry DeFrancisco's, I've got about 32 years in 19Alaska, 23 of those kicking around in rural Alaska and about a 20little less than a year in telecommunications. So I won't try 21to talk to this group about technical telecommunications, there 22are a number of people in the audience from my company that can 23help me with those kind of questions.

We need, however, to understand and I'd like to talk 25about the way services of all types have been delivered to

rural Alaska historically, including telecommunications. And talk about some better ways that they can be delivered. In order to deliver universal service we need competition and we need facilities. In some cases, I'm afraid that fear of the market place has become a bottleneck to delivering those kinds of services in modern technology.

Historically, too often, much of the new services that
have been provided to rural Alaska have been done so on a
project basis, that's been true of underground utilities of
loenergy efficient homes and of telecommunications. They develop
la project, the technology is developed elsewhere, a project's
loeveloped, it's funded by either business or an agency, a team
loss put together and they deliver the service, the new
lottechnology to rural Alaska and then they're done. And there it
lossits and it doesn't evolve, there is no evolutionary process
lobeyond that in many -- all too often. In order for
lottelecommunications to be effectively delivered to rural Alaska,
lottelecommunications to be an ongoing evolutionary process.

In order for telecommunications to switch from a 20project oriented basis, which it has been in the past, it has 21to be consumer demand based. We're finding that consumer 22demand, I think that the level of service that Mr. Conn talked 23about are demanded out there, I'm not sure that the subsidies 24are necessary simply because in our travels in rural Alaska 25we're finding the people who are trying to use the services

with the existing facilities and the entities very much want to
use those types of services.

In order to deliver them, that demand's got to be -got to flow through a delivery structure, the various companies
involved in delivering services to the providers and the
service has to be able to flow back through that structure fast
and efficiently. The system for delivering this, the seamless
network through the IXE's and the LEC's and the ISB's and the
cable companies, you need a three letter designator for eable
companies I think. For all of this to work, the system has to
the seamless. Everybody has to be working towards providing
service to the consumer.

This morning I read a quote from Mark Badger (ph) in a 14note that to do with rural television, it said, the era of easy 15money is over and our current period in history calls on 16everyone to think and act responsibly to make the goal of 17connecting Alaska a reality. He was talking about TV, but it 18really applies broadly to telecommunications. To create an 19evolutionary environment, the ongoing primary goal must be to 20connect the consumer.

My recent experience in telecommunication has been in 22the role out of the Dama Project (ph) in rural Alaska. And of 23course the obvious question is, are we creating that 24evolutionary process through that role now. The technology is 25resolved and I agree with Jerry on that, the logistics are

<u>R & R COURT REPORTERS</u>

conquered. The financial feasibility from our prospective is established and the regulatory barriers have generally been crossed. But there is still a significant problem.

The biggest single problem that we're beginning to face, we're beginning to realize is there is the services are being delivered by such a huge variety of business types and other entities, everything from the largest telecommunication providers in the world to the small IRA village cable -- or IRA owned-village cable system have widely varying perspectives. 100wnership ranges from public stock to Native corporations to 12governments and IRA councils and their focus ranges from social 13to pure bottom line. Many are motivated by fear of the 44unknown, fear of the new market place, fear of the future. With all of these different perspectives, the task of 16the provider is to find their way through that maze to the \$\$\psi\$7consumer. We're finding a corresponding variation in the 48ability and intent of the delivery companies to provide the 19service. Now, we were asking these companies for an 20interconnection using modern digital technology and equal 2laccess for the consumer in a timely manner. 22telecommunications, from the new guy's standpoint, makes

The investor owned local exchanges vary widely in their 25response to the need for service in rural Alaska. Some are

R & R COURT REPORTERS

23strange bedfellows.

1 matter of fact in their compliance with federal regulations,
2 state regulations. They know the rules, they roll out the
3 service fast and efficient by the book.

Others are slow to respond. They're unprepared to meet us even though we've -- the entire industry has known for months and years that we were headed in this direction. Many are unwilling to change their schedules to help provide new modern telecommunication services to rural Alaska.

There seems to be an element of fear, perhaps a lojustified fear, but it's there that they're going to lose their limonopoly position when dealing with us. We've been told by lose that they just won't work with us because we're the logotential competitor. As a result, we face the prospect of laleaving new earth stations cold and dark this winter because lothey're not connected to the delivery network. This attitude loslows down the delivery of service to the consumer.

It's interesting also that the co-op legs are working 18generally towards the same goal that GCI is. They're 19consistently working to provide this service for us. They have 20their own set of built-in constraints, it can be frustrating, 21usually characterized by less flexibility and making changes in 22their budgets and manpower, slower decision making process.

23But that's primarily because of the fiduciary relationship they 24have with their subscribers. But they're all sincere in their 25commitment to provide the new service. Both their own new

services which they're rolling out and our service to the consumer. Incidentally -- coincidentally, they're all fairly secure in their market position.

Summarizing the technical, logistical marketing
financial issues related to the delivery of modern
telecommunications to rural Alaska have generally been solved
and are being solved through the market forces, through normal
course of business. GCI's doing it, AT&T Alascom is doing it.
Others are preparing to do the same thing. Consumer groups are
forming to help shape the service to help direct the -- to
floorfigure the types of services delivered, it's a healthy
market environment. But the bottleneck, the break in the flow,
the hinderance to the evolutionary process is in some of the
flows

When you boil it down, it's really the fear of the 16market that's slowing down the delivery of competitive modern 17telecommunications. We need to replace that fear with resolve 18to serve the consumer through the market place. If that 19occurs, if we can work towards that direction together, then 20the evolutionary process will follow and telecommunications 21will keep up and meet the demand that rural Alaska has.

MR. MAY: Thank you, Mr. Jones. Commissioner Chong.

MS. CHONG: Thank you. Mr. Jones, do you have any 24statistics on telephone penetration in the rural areas?

MR. JONES: I don't have statistics, I believe they're

R & R COURT REPORTERS

22

25

37

a little higher than Mr. Conn -- my experience is that they're
a little higher than Mr. Conn suggested. It varies widely from
the size of the community. The very smallest communities,
you'll find the lowest probably in that 50 percent range, in
the very smallest communities. But as you get into the larger
communities you find fairly ubiquitous service.

MS. CHONG: I'm wondering if you could fill me in a little bit on the status of equal access in Alaska, you mentioned it at one point.

MR. JONES: We're in the middle of our construction

liprocess on the 56 site new facilities. We have scheduled equal

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Alaska, equal

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Alaska, equal

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess cutous fall this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess in four cities ballots to be mailed this fall. Those

liprocess cutous fall this fall this

- And then we're getting -- we'll probably have a process 19beyond that, we don't have dates yet of a number of subsequent 20equal access cutovers in some of the legs. There are a few of 21them that have been unable to give us any estimation of equal 22access dates.
- MS. CHONG: Because of the very strong pro-competitive 24bent of the Act, you are probably aware that the Commission 25feels very strongly that equal access is very important to

developing competition. Do you think that the Commission should mandate equal access in Alaska, are the costs of implementing it in the remaining half of the market's minor or expensive?

MR. JONES: The costs are, in many cases, fairly

MR. JONES: The costs are, in many cases, fairly significant. And I don't believe that an across the board mandate -- it may not serve the public because it may increase the cost of the service. I think that there ought to be every encouragement that equal-access occur at an early stage. But I loreally believe that that encouragement would be adequate.

- The -- what we really need is an attitude of trying to 12provide equal access to the consumer. When they -- when the 13consumer's interests are considered, then I think equal access 14will occur quickly.
- MS. CHONG: Now, you say there's going to be a cost 6impact, what do you think the costs are?
- MR. JONES: Much of the equipment in rural Alaska is -18requires upgrading and it -- the impact of that could be felt
 19throughout the equal access process. That's one of the
 20problems that we're faced with, is the equipment upgrades that
 21are required in rural Alaska.
- MS. CHONG: Do you think that the joint board should 23establish standards or guidelines as to quality of services to 24be delivered on universal service?
- MR. JONES: That's a hard one for me to answer again,

```
1 being non-technical. I think generally rural Alaska deserves
 the highest quality available technologically speaking and I
  believe it can be delivered. So I don't believe -- I guess my
  initial reaction after thinking about it is, there's no reason
  not to because it can be delivered -- the highest quality
  available to Anchorage can be delivered in New Styock.
                      Do you think currently the quality of
 service is the same as what's delivered to urban Alaska as to
 the rural areas?
10
          MR. JONES: Oh, certainly not, no. The stagnation in
11technology that occurred in rural Alaska -- because of that
12project oriented method of providing service has resulted in
13rural Alaska lagging way behind in terms of the level of
14quality.
15
          MS. CHONG: Thank you very much.
16
          MR. MAY: Chairman Cotten.
          CHAIRMAN COTTEN: Well, just a quick question here.
17
48Actually for Greg who's had perhaps a lot more experience in
19rural Alaska than the people on our Commission here and your
20planning background. Do you think that there is much to be
21gained by having more involvement on the part of state and
22federal regulators actually getting out in the rural areas and
23more hands-on, do they want to see more government people out
24there?
25
         MR. JONES: Well,....
```

CHAIRMAN COTTEN: That's a bad question.

MR. JONES:the general reaction when you come

from Anchorage or come from a government agency to rural Alaska

is they're really glad to see you come and they're really glad

to see you go. I think it's very valuable, for both rural

Alaska and the regulators and the providers. And, you know,

and I commend Jerry for the amount of time that he spent out

there. To go out, experience the problems, look at -- talk to

the people, find-out the level of demand.

It's been one of the most gratifying parts of my new 1 position here is the amount of time I've spent out there 2 talking about telecommunications and realizing how much demand 1 3 there is and how -- how many very knowledgeable technically 1 4 competent people there are in rural Alaska, it's a real eye 1 5 opener. And they're very, very frustrated. And I -- it would 1 6 be very valuable for you to experience that.

17 CHAIRMAN COTTEN: Thank you. I guess I could have 18asked that question probably of anybody on the panel, but I 19appreciate your response.

MR. MAY: Thank you. Commissioner and panelists,

21Ms. Delore (ph) has advised me that we're running a little bit

22late and I might have to cut down the presentation time or else

23cut down the questions. We can run into the break a little

24bit, but we have another panel after this, so I'm going to move

25right along, I'm going to shorten my introductions. The future

is here, Lance Ahern, President of Internet Alaska. Go, Lance.

MR. AHERN: Thanks, Don. I just wanted to briefly say that the FCC's web surfer was down last night from 10:30 'til about 5:45 this morning, so if you're looking for a good internet access provider, we can probably help you.

One of the other issues that Steve Conn mentioned
before was that the kind of money being spent in bush Alaska on
travel. And one thing that he didn't mention is that most
travel in the bush isn't by plane, it's actually by
snowmachine. And during the spring when you read the Daily
News and read the stories about the bodies washing up, this
stelecommunications issue.

14 First, I wanted to thank the Commissioners for the
15ability to speak with you. And as an Internet Service
16Provider, we have a number of problems with the ability to
17deliver the amount of service that we would like to in the
18bush. The first is the obvious issues with qualities of rural
19infrastructure and I think a lot of people here are familiar
20with that. I think the Telecommunications Act of '96 and the
21increased competition is going to help a lot there. Aside from
22the lack of a physical plant, we have seen a real problem where
23there's a lack of expertise and provisioning as well as
24delivery and support for modern digital services in the rural
25local exchanges. And that, as much as anything else, helps to

deepen (ph) the market for those services.

We also see that there are prohibitive costs for rural digital services. And what that means is that, for example, in small communities where we would need something like the ability to deliver leaseline service to the school or to a local health agency in order to help build enough market there to provide a service, that those customers just aren't there because of the high cost in those areas for local digital service.

MS. CHONG: Are you delivering it through a wired or 11wireless capacity?

MR. AHERN: Right now, wired. Another major problem

3for us has been, I'd call it the lack of competitive incentives

4which has an impact on our cost structure and our quality of

5service. Without naming any names, because I've got to work

6with these people, we have -- I'll give a couple of examples,

7but we have a local exchange carrier who basically we're unable

8to get the service we need because they're a subsidiary of a

19national company who's -- Alaska is not big on their priority

20list. We have -- I said that was a local exchange carrier.

We have a local exchange carrier who we have to buy 22service from who, twice now, we've placed orders to establish 23new service in their communities that they provide local 24service to and they've managed to install service in front of 25us which usually wouldn't happen in the real world where there

-- there is interesting situations in Alaska where because of the small size of the markets, as well as the small size of the staff in some of the local exchange carriers, the same person who we would buy our service from manages a product that competes with us. Fortunately, usually they're unable to make their service work before ours is working, so that hasn't been a major problem.

There's an inter-exchange carrier who, in the past,

9 wouldn't provide us with a co-location service until we found 10out that a competitor of ours who is affiliated with them was 11able to get that kind of service and we brought it to their 12attention. There is a local exchange carrier who we provide 20 13T-1's from, there is no local T-3 access -- I'm sorry, tariff 14and basically we're not able to get volume pricing which 15doesn't allow us to lower costs for our customers. 16another example of an inter-exchange carrier who can't deliver 17to us a very widely available routing protocol which would help 18improve our quality of service which is widely available and 19available from the service provider who provides their service. There are also very long lead times for the local 21exchange carriers to develop new services. And that means that 22because some of those digital services that could be available 23in the urban areas that would help to subsidize our ability to 24go out into the bush, those products just aren't available when 25we need them.

There's a real problem with the lack of inter-exchange

-- I'm sorry, the inter-exchange -- I should call it interstate

facilities. As everybody here knows, the pipe down to the

Lower 48 is highly over subscribed and while we can still get

the kinds of service we need from that pipe, it leads to some

other conditions where there's some artificially high prices

for things like what we'd call a personal line down to the

Lower 48 as compared to the price for any relay services. So

we're definitely looking forward to new products -- new

lointerstate facilities being put in place in the coming year.

We find that the changes in the regulatory environment 12that are due to the 1996 Act, the effect that we're seeing is 13that there's a lot of emphasis in the local exchanges and the 14inter-exchange carriers on competing in each other's markets 15rather than maybe developing some new services that we need 16right now. And it's really put some services that we'd like to 17have kind of on the back burner.

Another regulatory issue for us, we haven't really 19participated in this very strongly, but we know that the Public 20Utility Commission here was very well intentioned in trying to 21get the best prices out of ATU for ISDN service in Anchorage.

22But the practical effect has been that there is no ISDN service 23and there are a lot of people who would like to see that kind 24of service. And we hope that that will get resolved fairly 25quickly. What that does is it really dampens the market.

<u>R & R COURT REPORTERS</u>

We've talked to other carriers since then who had considered trying to develop an ISDN service who have basically put it on hold and it also means that other services that we need, what we would call a metro frame service might be delayed because these things are all related to each other.

Basically there's still plenty of good news in
Anchorage in general and urban Alaska. There's a very good, I
would call internet service. Currently in Anchorage we have 10
ISP's that I'm aware of, probably more who are providing
Oservice to customers, even in fairly small -- not rural Alaska,
Ibut places like Homer where there are a few thousand people,
I2they have three Internet Service Providers. In Anchorage, we
I3currently provide service to about five percent of the
I4households and we just got back from an Internet Service
I5Provider conference down in San Francisco where basically we
I6were among the top 10 out of about 1,100 service providers at
I7the conference so there's plenty of market certainly in the
Burban areas in Alaska which is definitely going to help support

MR. MAY: One minute, Mr. Ahern, please.

20

MR. AHERN: Thank you. I think that's pretty much it.

22I can kind of close it down right there. I'll just add real

23quickly that some of the things that we'd like to see, for

24example, are that there's more of a focus on delivering

25services over the digital network as opposed to just plain

delivering the physical plant. There isn't a physical plant there now that, for example, the State of Alaska could look at actually starting to provide services to the public over that network.

And, for example, there's some people who are very involved in delivering some of these services right now, for example, Fred Pearce is here for the telemedicine project.

And, you know, they're basically ready to do all this, but there's been too much of a focus on building the networks and lonot delivering the content that these networks could provide to lipeople.

- 12 Thank you.
- MR. MAY: Thank you, Mr. Ahern. Commissioner Chong, I 14think your schedule is driving the rest of the panel. Would 15you like to continue with questions or....
- 16 MS. CHONG: Yes, I would.
- MS. CHONG: If you could just promise to answer them 19quickly. Are you telling me that most Alaskans are getting 20their net service then over 28.8 bod modems, is that the 21average?
- MR. AHERN: To the best of my knowledge most people are 3actually getting 28.8 K service.
- MS. CHONG: And you mentioned ISDN service, what's the 25availability of ISDN lines in Anchorage?

MR. AHERN: It doesn't exist. There is none.

MS. CHONG: There is none, okay. Now, the '96 Act tells us we have to ensure access to advance services for all Americans. Do you think you could identify for me the minimum infrastructure or facilities that carriers should make available to internet providers like you so that more consumers could get net access?

MR. AHERN: We've been talking, in particular, to
people like GCI about the ability to co-locate in their Dama
lofacilities and as far as we can tell there's a real openness to
liprovide access to some of those facilities. I guess we have to
locate how that all plays out. But we think, you know, the
location there, the real issue right now is, you know,
the service for a complement all this
located the lead time, what's the time to implement all this
located the you know, a lot of these villages
located to going to see this service for a couple of years. By the
located the whole market will have changed in the Lower 48,
located the whole market will have changed in the Lower 48,
located the winder that future market now rather
located the minimal acceptable
limarket right now.

MS. CHONG: Thank you very much. Mr. May.

MR. MAY: Thank you. If I can I'll move on to our next 24panelist. Jack Rhyner is the President of TelAlaska, a small 25rural telephone company serving about 21 communities, but only

about 5,000 lines in total. Mr. Rhyner.

MR. RHYNER: Thank you. TelAlaska has provided telephone service in rural Alaska for the past 27 years. TelAlaska is the parent company of Interior Telephone Company 🗐 and Mukluk Telephone Company. Both local exchange carriers. And our service areas, even by Alaskan standards, include some of the most remote, rugged and culturally diverse geographic 8 areas in the United States. These areas include Little Diomede 9 Island which is located in the Bering Sca, only 2.6 miles from 10Russia. Fort Yukon, an Athabascan Indian community where ∄1temperatures can exceed 90 degrees in the summer and 50 below #2in the winter. We also serve communities located on the Alaska #3Peninsula and the Aleutian Islands, including Unalaska, Sand 14Point and King Cove, where hurricane force winds and volcanic 15eruptions are frequent occurrences. TelAlaska's service areas 16also include 12 Eskimo villages along the Iditarod sled trail 17near Nome.

Mukluk's telephone service area exceeds 27,000 square 19miles. Of the communities that Mukluk serves, Little Diomede 20is the most remote. It's a rocky, treeless island located 150 21miles west of Nome. In the summer it accessibly only by 22helicopter or hoat. In the winter they plow the snow off the 23pack ice on the Bering Sea for a runway. This community is 24like so many others in rural Alaska, has no hotel. So our 25technician -- part of our technician's equipment includes a